

AN ALGEBRA OF DISTRIBUTIONS ON AN OPEN INTERVAL

BY

HARRIS S. SHULTZ

ABSTRACT. Let (a, b) be any open subinterval of the reals which contains the origin and let $\mathfrak{B}$ denote the family of all distributions on (a, b) which are regular in some interval $(\epsilon, 0)$, where $\epsilon < 0$. Then $\mathfrak{B}$ is a commutative algebra: Multiplication is defined so that, when restricted to those distributions on (a, b) whose supports are contained in $[0, b)$, it is ordinary convolution. Also, $\mathfrak{B}$ can be injected into an algebra of operators; this family of operators is a sequentially complete locally convex space. Since it preserves multiplication, this injection serves as a generalization (there are no growth restrictions) of the two-sided Laplace transformation.

In [6] there is introduced a new algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of distributions on $(-\infty, \infty)$, closed under convolution and containing the space of distributions having support in $[0, \infty)$ as well as all locally integrable functions. No growth or support restrictions are placed on the elements of $\mathfrak{B}$. There is also defined a one-to-one transformation of $\mathfrak{B}$ into a commutative algebra of operators (somewhat analogous to the Fourier transformation). In the present article we generalize these results in obtaining a space $\mathfrak{B}$ of distributions on Ω , where Ω is any open subinterval of the reals which contains the origin. A distribution F on Ω belongs to $\mathfrak{B}$ if and only if F is regular in some interval $(\epsilon, 0)$, where $\epsilon < 0$. Convolution is defined and $\mathfrak{B}$ is shown to be closed under this operation. It is also shown that the algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ into which $\mathfrak{B}$ can be injected is a sequentially complete locally convex space in which convergence is defined simply in terms of the ordinary pointwise convergence of functions.

0. Preliminaries. Throughout we assume $-\infty \leq a < 0 < b \leq \infty$ and set $\Omega = (a, b)$. We define L to be the space of all the complex-valued functions which are Lebesgue integrable on each compact subinterval of Ω . We denote by L_+ (respectively, L_-) the subspace consisting of those elements of L which vanish on $(a, 0)$ (respectively, $(0, b)$). If f and g belong to L then the function $f \wedge g$ defined by the equation

$$(0.01) \quad f \wedge g(t) = \int_0^t f(t-u)g(u) du \quad (t \in \Omega)$$

Presented to the Society, January 19, 1972; received by the editors June 10, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 44A40, 44A35, 42A76; Secondary 46F99.

Key words and phrases. Generalized functions, operational calculus, Schwartz distributions, two-sided Laplace transformation, Fourier transformation.

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

also belongs to L , moreover, if we identify functions which are equal almost everywhere on Ω then

$$(0.02) \quad f \wedge g = g \wedge f$$

(see [4]). For any f in L we define

$$f_+(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), & 0 \leq t < b, \\ 0, & t < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f_-(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \geq 0, \\ f(t), & a < t < 0. \end{cases}$$

If Ω_0 is an open subinterval of the reals we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)$ the space of complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions defined on the reals which vanish outside of a compact subset of Ω_0 . If $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)$ we define the support of ϕ , denoted $\text{supp } \phi$, to be the closure of the set $\{t: \phi(t) \neq 0\}$. Then $\text{supp } \phi \subset \Omega_0$ for all ϕ in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)$.

As usual, the dual of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)$, that is, the space of distributions on Ω_0 , is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega_0)$. If R belongs to $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega_0)$ and ϕ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)$ the scalar which R assigns to ϕ will be written $\langle R(x), \phi(x) \rangle$. If f belongs to the family of locally integrable functions on Ω_0 and m is a nonnegative integer we shall write $\partial^m f$ for the element of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega_0)$ defined by

$$\langle \partial^m f(x), \phi(x) \rangle = (-1)^m \int_{\Omega_0} f(x) \phi^{(m)}(x) dx \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)).$$

In particular, $\partial^0 f$ is the *regular* distribution corresponding to the function f . The support of a distribution R on Ω_0 (denoted $\text{supp } R$) is defined to be the complement with respect to Ω_0 of the largest open set on which R vanishes.

1. **The algebra $\mathcal{B}$.** We denote by $\mathcal{D}'_b$ the space of elements in $\mathcal{D}'((-\infty, b))$ having support in $[0, b)$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}'_a$ the space of elements in $\mathcal{D}'((a, \infty))$ having support in $(a, 0]$.

1.01. **Definition.** Suppose $\{b_n\}$ and $\{a_n\}$ are sequences of real numbers, that $\{J_n\}$ and $\{K_n\}$ are sequences of nonnegative integers and that $\{F_n\}$ and $\{G_n\}$ are sequences in L . If the ordered pair (R, S) belongs to the cartesian product $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ we say that the sequence $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\}$ belongs to $\Sigma_{R,S}$ if

$$(1.01.1) \quad a \leftarrow \cdots < a_2 < a_1 < a_0 = 0 = b_0 < b_1 < b_2 < \cdots \rightarrow b;$$

$$(1.01.2) \quad F_n \text{ vanishes on } (-\infty, b_n) \quad \text{and} \quad G_n \text{ vanishes on } (a_n, \infty);$$

$$(1.01.3) \quad R = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^{J_n} F_n \quad \text{and} \quad S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^{K_n} G_n.$$

1.02. **Theorem.** Given any (R, S) in $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ and any sequences $\{b_n\}$ and $\{a_n\}$ satisfying (1.01.1) there exists an element $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\}$ of $\Sigma_{R,S}$.

Proof. By [8, 2.17] there exists a sequence $\{F_n\}$ in L such that the equation

$$R = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^J F_n$$

holds for some sequence $\{J_n\}$ of nonnegative integers. We define an element T of $\mathcal{D}'((-\infty, -a))$ as follows:

$$(1) \quad \langle T(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \langle S(x), \phi(-x) \rangle \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{D}((-\infty, -a))).$$

Then, since $\text{supp } S \subset (a, 0]$, the distribution T has support contained in $[0, -a]$. Since $0 = -a_0 < -a_1 < \dots < -a$ we may infer from [8, 2.17] the existence of a sequence $\{H_n\}$ in $L^{\text{loc}}((-\infty, -a))$ such that H_n vanishes on $(-\infty, -a_n)$ and such that the equation

$$(2) \quad T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^K H_n$$

holds for some sequence $\{K_n\}$ of nonnegative integers. If we define

$$G_n(x) = (-1)^{K_n} H(-x)$$

then G_n vanishes on (a_n, ∞) and we may combine (1) and (2) to obtain

$$S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^K G_n.$$

Therefore, $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\} \in \Sigma_{R, S}$.

1.03. Definition. For each ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ we define $[\phi]^+$ to be the family of infinitely differentiable functions λ on the reals such that λ is equal to 1 on a neighborhood of $[0, \infty)$ and vanishes on some interval $(-\infty, a')$, where $\text{supp } \phi \subset (-\infty, a' + b)$. For each ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ we define $[\phi]^-$ to be the family of infinitely differentiable functions μ on the reals such that μ is equal to 1 on a neighborhood of $(-\infty, 0]$ and vanishes on some interval (b', ∞) , where $\text{supp } \phi \subset (a + b', \infty)$.

1.04. Theorem. Suppose (r, s) and (R, S) belong to $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$. If $\{(f_n, b_n, j_n, g_n, a_n, k_n)\}$ belongs to $\Sigma_{r, s}$ and $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\}$ belongs to $\Sigma_{R, S}$, then for any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ the equation

$$(1.04.1) \quad \langle r(y), \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \langle \partial^{j_m + J_n} (f_m \wedge F_n)(x), \phi(x) \rangle$$

holds for all λ in $[\phi]^+$, and for any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\langle (s(y), \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle) \rangle \\
 (1.04.2) \quad & = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \langle \partial^{k_m+K_n} (g_m \wedge G_n)(x), \phi(x) \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for all μ in $[\phi]^-$.

Proof. Suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ and $\lambda \in [\phi]^+$. There exist numbers β and a' such that

$$(1) \quad \text{supp } \phi \subset (-\infty, \beta] \subset (-\infty, a' + b)$$

and such that λ vanishes on $(-\infty, a')$. For any y the function $x \mapsto \lambda(y)\phi(x+y)$ is infinitely differentiable. From (1) it follows that its support is contained in $(-\infty, \beta - y]$. Thus, for $y \geq a'$, its support is contained in $(-\infty, \beta - a']$ and therefore in $(-\infty, b)$. And, for $y < a'$, it vanishes identically (since $\lambda(y) = 0$). Consequently, the function $x \mapsto \lambda(y)\phi(x+y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ and has support in $(-\infty, \beta - a']$ for all y . There exists N such that $b_{N+1} > \beta - a'$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{J_n} \int_0^b F_n(x) \lambda(y) \phi^{(J_n)}(x+y) dx \\
 (2) \quad &= \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^{J_n} \int_0^b F_n(x) \lambda(y) \phi^{(J_n)}(x+y) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

for all y (recall that F_n vanishes on $(-\infty, b_n)$). From (2) and [2, 250] it follows that the function

$$(3) \quad y \mapsto \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle$$

is infinitely differentiable. From (1) comes the equality

$$(4) \quad \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{all } y > \beta)$$

(recall $\text{supp } R \subset [0, b)$). And

$$\langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{all } y < a')$$

since λ vanishes on $(-\infty, a')$. Consequently, the function (3) belongs to $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$. Moreover, we may combine (4) and the inequality $b_{N+1} > \beta$ to obtain

$$\int_0^b f_m(y) \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle dy = 0 \quad (\text{all } m > N).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle r(y), \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle \rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^N (-1)^{j_m} \int_0^b f_m(y) \frac{d^m}{dy^{j_m}} \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle dy \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^{j_m+J_n} \int_0^b f_m(y) \left(\int_0^b F_n(x) \phi^{(j_m+J_n)}(x+y) dx \right) dy;
\end{aligned}$$

the last equality is from (2), [2, 250] and the fact that $\lambda = 1$ on $[0, \infty)$. We may now use the change of variable $t = x + y$ and [2, 283] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle r(y), \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle \rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^{j_m+J_n} \int_0^b \left(\int_y^b f_m(y) F_n(t-y) \phi^{(j_m+J_n)}(t) dt \right) dy \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^{j_m+J_n} \int_0^b \left(\int_0^t f_m(y) F_n(t-y) dy \right) \phi^{(j_m+J_n)}(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We need only observe now that

$$\int_0^t f_m(y) F_n(t-y) dy = 0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq \beta)$$

for $m > N$ and $n > N$ to obtain (1.04.1). Suppose now that $\phi \in \mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ and $\mu \in [\phi]^-$. There exist numbers α and b' such that

$$(5) \quad \text{supp } \phi \subset [\alpha, \infty) \subset (a + b', \infty)$$

and such that μ vanishes on (b', ∞) . For any y the function $x \mapsto \mu(y)\phi(x+y)$ is infinitely differentiable. From (5) it follows that its support is contained in $[\alpha - y, \infty)$. Thus, for $y \leq b'$, its support is contained in $[\alpha - b', \infty)$ and therefore in (a, ∞) . And, for $y > b'$, it vanishes identically (since $\mu(y) = 0$). Consequently, the function $x \mapsto \mu(y)\phi(x+y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ and has support in $[\alpha - b', \infty)$ for all y . There exists N such that $a_{N+1} < \alpha - b'$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{K_n} \int_a^0 G_n(x) \mu(y) \phi^{(K_n)}(x+y) dx \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^{K_n} \int_a^0 G_n(x) \mu(y) \phi^{(K_n)}(x+y) dx
\end{aligned}$$

for all y (recall that G_n vanishes on (a_n, ∞)). From (6) and [2, 250] it follows that the function

$$(7) \quad y \mapsto \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle$$

is infinitely differentiable. From (5) comes the equality

$$(8) \quad \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{all } y < \alpha)$$

(recall $\text{supp } S \subset (a, 0]$). And

$$\langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{all } y > b')$$

since μ vanishes on (b', ∞) . Consequently, the function (7) belongs to $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$. Moreover, we may combine (8) and the inequality $a_{N+1} < \alpha$ to obtain

$$\int_a^0 g_m(y) \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle dy = 0 \quad (\text{all } m > N).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle s(y), \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^N (-1)^m \int_a^0 g_m(y) \frac{d^m}{dy^m} \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle dy \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^{m+K_n} \int_a^0 g_m(y) \left(\int_a^0 G_n(x) \phi^{(k_m+K_n)}(x+y) dx \right) dy; \end{aligned}$$

the last equality is from (5), [2, 250] and the fact that $\mu = 1$ on $(-\infty, 0]$. We may now use the change of variable $t = x + y$ and [2, 283] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle s(y), \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^{m+K_n} \int_a^0 \left(\int_a^y g_m(y) G_n(t-y) \phi^{(k_m+K_n)}(t) dt \right) dy \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^{m+K_n} \int_a^0 \left(\int_t^0 g_m(y) G_n(t-y) dy \right) \phi^{(k_m+K_n)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We need only observe now that

$$\int_t^0 g_m(y) G_n(t-y) dy = 0 \quad (\alpha \leq t \leq 0)$$

for $m > N$ and $n > N$ and that

$$- \int_t^0 g_m(y) G_n(t-y) dy = g_n \wedge G_n(t)$$

to obtain (1.04.2).

1.05. **Corollary.** Suppose that (r, s) and (R, S) belong to $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$. For any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ the family

$$\{\langle r(y), \langle R(x), \lambda(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle \rangle: \lambda \in [\phi]^+\}$$

contains a unique element, which will be denoted by $\langle r * R(x), \phi(x) \rangle$. For any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ the family

$$\{\langle s(y), \langle S(x), \mu(y)\phi(x+y) \rangle \rangle: \mu \in [\phi]^-\}$$

contains a unique element, which will be denoted by $\langle s * S(x), \phi(x) \rangle$.

1.06. **Definition.** Let (r, s) and (R, S) belong to $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$. We denote by $r * R$ the functional that assigns to any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ the number $\langle r * R(x), \phi(x) \rangle$; we denote by $s * S$ the functional that assigns to any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ the number $\langle s * S(x), \phi(x) \rangle$.

1.07. **Remark.** It follows from 1.04 and (0.02) that $r * R = R * r$ and $s * S = S * s$.

1.08. **Corollary.** If (r, s) and (R, S) belong to $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ then $(r * R, s * S)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$.

Proof. It follows from (1.04.1) and the sequential completeness of $\mathcal{D}'((-\infty, b))$ (see [1, Proposition 2, p. 315]) that $r * R$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}'((-\infty, b))$. Moreover, since $r * R$ is the limit of a sequence of distributions on $(-\infty, b)$ all having support in $[0, b)$, it too must have support in $[0, b)$, i.e. $r * R \in \mathcal{D}'_b$. Similarly, $s * S \in \mathcal{D}'_a$.

1.09. **Remark.** If f belongs to L then $\partial^0 f_+ \in \mathcal{D}'_b$ and $\partial^0 f_- \in \mathcal{D}'_a$. We may deduce from 1.01 and 1.04 that the equations $\partial^0 f_+ * \partial^0 g_+ = \partial^0(f_+ \wedge g_+)$ and $-\partial^0 f_- * \partial^0 g_- = \partial^0(f_- \wedge g_-)$ hold for all f and g in L .

1.10. **Definition.** We denote by $\mathfrak{B}_-$ the linear subspace consisting of those elements of $\mathcal{D}'_a$ which are regular in a neighborhood of the origin.

1.11. **Remark.** Thus $S \in \mathfrak{B}_-$ if and only if $S = \partial^0 f + T$ where $f \in L_-$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}'_a$ with $\text{supp } T \subset (a, 0)$. In particular, $\partial^0 f_- \in \mathfrak{B}_-$ for all $f \in L$.

1.12. **Lemma.** Suppose (R, S) and (R_1, S_1) belong to $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathfrak{B}_-$. If the elements $R + S$ and $R_1 + S_1$ of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ are equal then $R = R_1$ and $S = S_1$.

Proof. Since S and S_1 both vanish on $(0, b)$ we have

$$(1) \quad S = S_1 \quad \text{on } (0, b).$$

Since R and R_1 both vanish on $(a, 0)$ it follows from $R + S = R_1 + S_1$ that

$$(2) \quad S = S_1 \quad \text{on } (a, 0).$$

Now, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and elements f and f_1 of L_- such that $S = \partial^0 f$ and $S_1 = \partial^0 f_1$ on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. From (1) and (2) it follows that $\partial^0 f = \partial^0 f_1$ on $(0, \epsilon)$ and on $(-\epsilon, 0)$. Thus, by [9, p. 224] we have $f = f_1$ almost everywhere on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, from which it follows that $\partial^0 f = \partial^0 f_1$ on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Therefore,

$$(3) \quad S = \partial^0 f = \partial^0 f_1 = S_1 \quad \text{on } (-\epsilon, \epsilon).$$

We may now combine (1), (2) and (3) to conclude that $S = S_1$ on (a, b) (see [9, Theorem 24.1]).

1.13. Definition. We denote by $\mathfrak{B}$ the linear subspace consisting of those elements of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ of the form $R + S$ where $R \in \mathcal{D}'_b$ and $S \in \mathfrak{B}_-$.

1.14. Remark. Thus, $F \in \mathfrak{B}$ if and only if $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and is regular in some neighborhood $(\epsilon, 0)$, where $a < \epsilon < 0$. In particular, $\partial^0 f \in \mathfrak{B}$ for all $f \in L$.

1.15. Theorem. If F belongs to $\mathfrak{B}$ there exists a unique element of $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathfrak{B}_-$, denoted (F_+, F_-) , such that $F = F_+ + F_-$.

Proof. Immediate from 1.12.

1.16. Corollary. The mapping $F \mapsto (F_+, F_-)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{B}$ into $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$.

Proof. One may easily verify that the mapping $F \mapsto (F_+, F_-)$ is linear. The corollary then follows from 1.15.

1.17. Lemma. If V and V_1 belong to $\mathcal{D}'_a$ with $\text{supp } V_1 \subset (a, \epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon < 0$ then $\text{supp } V * V_1 \subset (a, \epsilon]$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ and have support in $[\epsilon', \infty)$, where $\epsilon < \epsilon' < 0$. Then, for $y < \epsilon' - \epsilon$ the function $x \mapsto \phi(x + y)$ has support contained in (ϵ, ∞) . Therefore,

$$\langle V_1(x), \mu(y)\phi(x + y) \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{all } \mu \in [\phi]^-)$$

for all $y < \epsilon' - \epsilon$. Thus, the function $y \mapsto \langle V_1(x), \mu(y)\phi(x + y) \rangle$ has support contained in $(0, \infty)$ for all $\mu \in [\phi]^-$. Since V vanishes on this interval it follows that

$$\langle V * V_1(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \langle V(y), \langle V_1(x), \mu(y)\phi(x + y) \rangle \rangle = 0$$

for all μ in $[\phi]^-$. Therefore, $V * V_1$ vanishes on (ϵ, ∞) .

1.18. Theorem. If F and G belong to $\mathfrak{B}$ then $F_+ * G_+ - F_- * G_-$ belongs to $\mathfrak{B}$ with $(F_+ * G_+ - F_- * G_-)_- = -F_- * G_-$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $F_- * G_- \in \mathfrak{B}_-$. By 1.11 there exist f and g in L_- and T and U in $\mathcal{D}'_a$ such that $F_- = \partial^0 f + T$ and $G_- = \partial^0 g + U$ with

$\text{supp } T \subset (a, \epsilon]$ and $\text{supp } U \subset (a, \epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon < 0$. Therefore,

$$F_- * G_- = (\partial^0 f + T) * (\partial^0 g + U) = (\partial^0 f) * (\partial^0 g) + (\partial^0 f) * U + T * (\partial^0 g) + T * U.$$

From 1.09 and 1.07 it follows that

$$F_- * G_- = \partial^0(f \wedge g) + (\partial^0 f) * U + (\partial^0 g) * T + T * U.$$

If we set $S = (\partial^0 f) * U + (\partial^0 g) * T + T * U$ we may infer from 1.17 that $\text{supp } S \subset (a, \epsilon]$ and therefore $F_- * G_- \in \mathfrak{B}_-$.

1.19. Definition. If F and G belong to $\mathfrak{B}$ we denote the element $F_+ * G_+ - F_- * G_-$ of $\mathfrak{B}$ by $F \wedge G$.

1.20. Remark. As a consequence of 2.23, the space $\mathfrak{B}$, with multiplication defined by 1.19, is a commutative algebra.

1.21. Theorem. The equation $\partial^0(f \wedge g) = (\partial^0 f) \wedge (\partial^0 g)$ holds for all f and g in L .

Proof. Since $f \wedge g = f_+ \wedge g_+ + f_- \wedge g_-$ we may use 1.09 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^0(f \wedge g) &= \partial^0(f_+ \wedge g_+) + \partial^0(f_- \wedge g_-) = \partial^0 f_+ * \partial^0 g_+ - \partial^0 f_- * \partial^0 g_- \\ &= (\partial^0 f)_+ * (\partial^0 g)_+ - (\partial^0 f)_- * (\partial^0 g)_- = (\partial^0 f) \wedge (\partial^0 g). \end{aligned}$$

2. The algebra of operators. Let W be the space of all the complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions w on Ω such that $w^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for $k \geq 0$. In [4] it is shown that $f \wedge w$ belongs to W with

$$(2.01) \quad (f \wedge w)' = f \wedge w'$$

whenever f belongs to L and w belongs to W . We denote by $\langle f \rangle$ the operator which assigns to each w in W the function $f \wedge w$ in W . Thus, $\langle f \rangle w = f \wedge w$ (all w in W). Let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be the set of all the operators A mapping W into itself such that

$$(2.02) \quad A(w_1 \wedge w_2) = (Aw_1) \wedge w_2$$

for all w_1 and w_2 in W . We make $\mathfrak{Q}$ into a vector space by defining addition and scalar multiplication in the usual way. We define the product of two operators to be the composition of the operators. Then $\mathfrak{Q}$ is a commutative algebra which contains the identity operator I and the differentiation operator D ; moreover, the mapping $f \mapsto \langle f \rangle$ is a linear injection of L into $\mathfrak{Q}$ and

$$(2.03) \quad \langle f \wedge g \rangle = \langle f \rangle \langle g \rangle$$

for all f and g in L (see [4]).

2.04. Theorem. If $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\}$ belongs to $\Sigma_{R,S}$ for some (R, S) in $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ then the equation

$$Aw(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((F_n \wedge w)^{(J_n)}(t) + (G_n \wedge w)^{(K_n)}(t)) \quad (t \in \Omega, w \in W)$$

defines an element of $\mathfrak{Q}$.

Proof. Let $w \in W$ and $a < \alpha < \beta < b$. Then there exists a positive integer N such that $a_{N+1} < \alpha < \beta < b_{N+1}$. Since F_n vanishes on (a, b_n) we may infer that $F_n \wedge w$ vanishes on (a, β) for all $n > N$; since G_n vanishes on (a_n, b) we may infer that $G_n \wedge w$ vanishes on (α, b) for all $n > N$. Consequently,

$$(1) \quad Aw(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N ((F_n \wedge w)^{(J_n)}(t) + (G_n \wedge w)^{(K_n)}(t)) \quad (\alpha < t < \beta).$$

Since each $F_n \wedge w$ and each $G_n \wedge w$ is infinitely differentiable on (α, β) it follows from (1) that Aw is infinitely differentiable on (α, β) ; and, clearly, every derivative of Aw vanishes at the origin since the same is true of each term on the right-hand side of (1). Since (α, β) was an arbitrary open subinterval of Ω we may conclude that $Aw \in W$. There remains to show that the equation $A(w_1 \wedge w_2) = (Aw_1) \wedge w_2$ holds for all w_1 and w_2 in W . But, using (2.01) and the fact that $\langle F_n \rangle$ and $\langle G_n \rangle$ belong to $\mathfrak{Q}$ we may deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} A(w_1 \wedge w_2) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((F_n \wedge (w_1 \wedge w_2))^{(J_n)} + (G_n \wedge (w_1 \wedge w_2))^{(K_n)}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (((F_n \wedge w_1) \wedge w_2)^{(J_n)} + ((G_n \wedge w_1) \wedge w_2)^{(K_n)}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((F_n \wedge w_1)^{(J_n)} \wedge w_2 + (G_n \wedge w_1)^{(K_n)} \wedge w_2) \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((F_n \wedge w_1)^{(J_n)} + (G_n \wedge w_1)^{(K_n)}) \right) \wedge w_2 = (Aw_1) \wedge w_2. \end{aligned}$$

For each w in W and each t in Ω the equation $\rho_{w,t}(A) = |Aw(t)|$ defines a seminorm on the space $\mathfrak{Q}$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be endowed with the locally convex topology defined by the family of seminorms $\{\rho_{w,t}; t \in \Omega, w \in W\}$.

2.05. Remark. If $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{Q}$ then $A_0 = \lim A_n$ if and only if $A_0 w(t) = \lim A_n w(t)$ for all w in W and all t in Ω .

2.06. **Definition.** If $0 \leq t < b$ we denote by $[t]$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions p which assume the value 1 on a neighborhood of $[0, \infty)$ and which vanish on some interval $(-\infty, \alpha_p)$, where $t - b < \alpha_p$. If $a < t < 0$ we let $[t]$ denote the set of all infinitely differentiable functions q which assume the value 1 on a neighborhood of $(-\infty, 0]$ and which vanish on some interval (β_q, ∞) , where $\beta_q < t - a$.

2.07. **Definition.** If w belongs to W and $0 \leq t < b$ we define

$$w_t(x) = \begin{cases} w(t-x), & t-b < x < t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

if w belongs to W and $a < t < 0$ we define

$$w_t(x) = \begin{cases} w(t-x), & t < x < t-a, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.08. **Remark.** If $w \in W$ and $0 \leq t < b$, the function w_t is infinitely differentiable on $(t-b, \infty)$ and vanishes on (t, ∞) ; thus, if $p \in [t]$, the function pw_t (the pointwise product of the functions p and w_t) belongs to $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ with $\text{supp } pw_t \subset (-\infty, t]$. If $w \in W$ and $a < t < 0$, the function w_t is infinitely differentiable on $(-\infty, t-a)$ and vanishes on $(-\infty, t)$; thus, if $q \in [t]$, the function qw_t belongs to $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ with $\text{supp } qw_t \subset [t, \infty)$.

2.09. **Lemma.** If f belongs to L and m is a nonnegative integer, the equation

$$\langle \partial^m f_+(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle = (f \wedge w)^{(m)}(t) \quad (0 \leq t < b)$$

holds for any p in $[t]$ and any w in W and the equation

$$-\langle \partial^m f_-(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle = (f \wedge w)^{(m)}(t) \quad (a < t < 0)$$

holds for any q in $[t]$ and any w in W .

Proof. Let $0 \leq t < b$ and $p \in [t]$. For any $w \in W$,

$$\langle \partial^m f_+(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle = (-1)^m \int_0^b f(x) [pw_t]^{(m)}(x) dx.$$

Since $p = 1$ on $[0, b)$ and since w_t vanishes on (t, ∞) it follows that

$$\langle \partial^m f_+(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle = (-1)^m \int_0^t f(x) [w_t]^{(m)}(x) dx.$$

By observing that $(-1)^m [w_t]^{(m)}(x) = w^{(m)}(t-x)$ for $x > t-b$, we may use (2.01) to obtain

$$\langle \partial^m f_+(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle = \int_0^t f(x)w^{(m)}(t-x) dx = (f \wedge w)^{(m)}(t).$$

Now, let $a < t < 0$ and $q \in [t]$. For any $w \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial^m f_-(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle &= (-1)^m \int_a^0 f(x)[qw_t]^{(m)}(x) dx \\ &= (-1)^m \int_t^0 f(x)[w_t]^{(m)}(x) dx = \int_t^0 f(x)w^{(m)}(t-x) dx \\ &= - \int_0^t f(x)w^{(m)}(t-x) dx = - (f \wedge w)^{(m)}(t). \end{aligned}$$

2.10. Theorem. If (R, S) belongs to $\mathfrak{D}'_b \times \mathfrak{D}'_a$ there exists an element A of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that the equation

$$(2.10.1) \quad \langle R(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle = Aw(t) \quad (0 \leq t < b)$$

holds for any p in $[t]$ and any w in W and such that the equation

$$(2.10.2) \quad - \langle S(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle = Aw(t) \quad (a < t < 0)$$

holds for any q in $[t]$ and any w in W . If $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\}$ belongs to $\Sigma_{R,S}$ then

$$(2.10.3) \quad A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (D^{J_n} \langle f_n \rangle + D^{K_n} \langle g_n \rangle).$$

Proof. Let $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\} \in \Sigma_{R,S}$. Then, by 2.09,

$$(1) \quad \langle R(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \partial^{J_n} F_n(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (F_n \wedge w)^{(J_n)}(t)$$

for $0 \leq t < b$, any $p \in [t]$ and any w in W . Similarly,

$$(2) \quad - \langle S(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} - \langle \partial^{K_n} G_n(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (G_n \wedge w)^{(K_n)}(t)$$

for $a < t < 0$, any $q \in [t]$ and any w in W . If we define

$$Aw(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((F_n \wedge w)^{(J_n)}(t) + (G_n \wedge w)^{(K_n)}(t)) \quad (t \in \Omega, w \in W)$$

then $A \in \mathfrak{Q}$ by 2.04. Moreover, since each $F_n \in L_+$ and each $G_n \in L_-$ we have

$$Aw(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (F_n \wedge w)^{(J_n)}(t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t < b, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (G_n \wedge w)^{(K_n)}(t) & \text{for } a < t < 0, \end{cases}$$

from which follows the theorem.

2.11. Corollary. Suppose that (R, S) belongs to $\mathfrak{D}'_b \times \mathfrak{D}'_a$ and $w \in W$. If $0 \leq t < b$, the family $\{\langle R(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle : p \in [t]\}$ contains a unique element. If $a < t < 0$, the family $\{\langle S(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle : q \in [t]\}$ contains a unique element. If we define

$$\langle (R, S) \rangle w(t) = \begin{cases} \langle R(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle & (p \in [t], 0 \leq t < b) \\ -\langle S(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle & (q \in [t], a < t < 0) \end{cases}$$

and denote by $\langle (R, S) \rangle$ the mapping $w \mapsto \langle (R, S) \rangle w$, then $\langle (R, S) \rangle \in \mathfrak{Q}$.

2.12. Corollary. If $(R, S) \in \mathfrak{D}'_b \times \mathfrak{D}'_a$ and $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\} \in \Sigma_{R,S}$, then

$$(2.12.1) \quad \langle (R, S) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (D^{J_n} \langle F_n \rangle + D^{K_n} \langle G_n \rangle).$$

Proof. Immediate from (2.10.3).

2.13. Corollary. The equation $\langle \partial^m f_+, \partial^n f_- \rangle = D^m \langle f_+ \rangle + D^n \langle f_- \rangle$ holds for all f in L and all nonnegative integers m and n .

Proof. Immediate from 2.09.

2.14. Lemma. There exists a sequence $\{w_n\}$ in W such that

$$(2.14.1) \quad A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Aw_n \rangle$$

for all A in $\mathfrak{Q}$.

Proof. Choose w_n in W satisfying

$$(1) \quad w_n \geq 0 \quad \text{on } (0, b),$$

$$(2) \quad w_n \leq 0 \quad \text{on } (a, 0),$$

$$(3) \quad w_n(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } |t| \geq 1/n,$$

$$(4) \quad \int_0^b w_n(x) dx = 1 = - \int_a^0 w_n(x) dx$$

(cf. [1, p. 166]). Let $A \in \mathcal{U}$ and $w \in W$. Then, for $0 < t < b$ and n sufficiently large so that $t - 1/n > 0$,

$$(Aw) \wedge w_n(t) = \int_{t-1/n}^t Aw(x)w_n(t-x)dx.$$

And, by (3)–(4), we may write

$$Aw(t) = \int_{t-1/n}^t Aw(t)w_n(t-x)dx.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |(Aw) \wedge w_n(t) - Aw(t)| &= \left| \int_{t-1/n}^t [Aw(x) - Aw(t)]w_n(t-x)dx \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{|x-t| \leq 1/n} |Aw(x) - Aw(t)| \int_{t-1/n}^t w_n(t-x)dx \\ &= \sup_{|x-t| \leq 1/n} |Aw(x) - Aw(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5) and the continuity of Aw at t we obtain

$$(6) \quad Aw(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Aw) \wedge w_n(t) \quad (0 < t < b).$$

For $a < t < 0$ and n sufficiently large so that $t + 1/n > 0$,

$$(Aw) \wedge w_n(t) = - \int_t^{t+1/n} Aw(x)w_n(t-x)dx.$$

And, by (3)–(4), we may write

$$Aw(t) = - \int_t^{t+1/n} Aw(t)w_n(t-x)dx.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |(Aw) \wedge w_n(t) - Aw(t)| &= \left| - \int_t^{t+1/n} [Aw(x) - Aw(t)]w_n(t-x)dx \right| \\ (7) \quad &\leq \sup_{|x-t| \leq 1/n} |Aw(x) - Aw(t)| \left(- \int_t^{t+1/n} w_n(t-x)dx \right) \\ &= \sup_{|x-t| \leq 1/n} |Aw(x) - Aw(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (7) and the continuity of Aw at t we obtain

$$(8) \quad Aw(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Aw) \wedge w_n(t) \quad (a < t < 0).$$

Observing that $(Aw) \wedge w_n = (Aw_n) \wedge w$ and the fact that $(Aw_n) \wedge w(0) = 0 = Aw(0)$ we infer from (6) and (8) that $Aw(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Aw_n) \wedge w(t)$ (all $t \in \Omega$) and therefore that $A = \lim \langle Aw_n \rangle$.

2.15. **Remark.** It follows from 2.14 that each A in $\mathcal{Q}$ is linear.

2.16. **Lemma.** If $\{(R_n, S_n)\}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ and if $R_0 = \lim R_n$ and $S_0 = \lim S_n$ then $\langle\langle R_0, S_0 \rangle\rangle = \lim \langle\langle R_n, S_n \rangle\rangle$.

Proof. Let $w \in W$. If $R_0 = \lim R_n$ and $S_0 = \lim S_n$ then

$$\langle R_0(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle R_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle \quad (\text{all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))),$$

$$\langle S_0(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle S_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle \quad (\text{all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}((a, \infty))).$$

Therefore, for $0 < t < b$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle R_0, S_0 \rangle\rangle w(t) &= \langle R_0(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle R_n(x), p(x)w_t(x) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle\langle R_n, S_n \rangle\rangle w(t) \quad (\text{all } p \in [t]), \end{aligned}$$

and, for $a < t < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle R_0, S_0 \rangle\rangle w(t) &= -\langle S_0(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\langle S_n(x), q(x)w_t(x) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle\langle R_n, S_n \rangle\rangle w(t) \quad (\text{all } q \in [t]). \end{aligned}$$

2.17. **Definition.** For any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, \infty))$ and any real t we define $\phi_t(x) = \phi(t - x)$ for all x .

2.18. **Theorem.** The mapping $(R, S) \mapsto \langle\langle R, S \rangle\rangle$ is a linear bijection of $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ onto $\mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. It is easily seen that the mapping is linear. We show first that it is "onto." Let $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ and define

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} Aw_n(x) & \text{for } 0 \leq x < b, \\ 0 & \text{for } x < 0; \end{cases} \quad g_n(x) = \begin{cases} Aw_n(x) & \text{for } a < x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

(see 2.14). Then $\partial^0 f_n \in \mathcal{D}'_b$ and $\partial^0 g_n \in \mathcal{D}'_a$. For any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ there exists $t \in (0, b)$ such that $\text{supp } \phi \subset (-\infty, t]$ and therefore $\phi_t \in W$. Thus,

$$(1) \quad \langle \partial^0 f_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \langle \partial^0 f_n(x), (\phi_t)_t(x) \rangle = \langle f_n \rangle \phi_t(t).$$

Combining (1) and 2.14 we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \partial^0 f_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle = A(\phi_t)(t)$. Thus the sequence $\{\langle \partial^0 f_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle\}$ converges for all ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$. By [1, Proposition 2, p. 315] there exists R in $\mathcal{D}'((-\infty, b))$ such that $R = \lim \partial^0 f_n$; it is easily seen that $R \in \mathcal{D}'_b$. For any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ there exists $t \in (a, 0)$ such that $\text{supp } \phi \subset [t, \infty)$ and therefore $\phi_t \in W$. Thus,

$$(2) \quad -\langle \partial^0 g_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle = -\langle \partial^0 g_n(x), (\phi_t)_t(x) \rangle = \langle g_n \rangle \phi_t(t).$$

Combining (2) and 2.14 we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\langle \partial^0 g_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle = A(\phi_t)(t)$. Thus the sequence $\{\langle \partial^0 g_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle\}$ converges for all ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$. We may similarly infer the existence of S in $\mathcal{D}'_a$ such that $S = \lim \partial^0 g_n$. We may now use 2.16, 2.13 and 2.14 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle R, S \rangle\rangle &= \left\langle\left\langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial^0 f_n, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial^0 g_n \right\rangle\right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\partial^0 f_n, \partial^0 g_n) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A w_n \rangle = A; \end{aligned}$$

whence the mapping $(R, S) \mapsto \langle\langle R, S \rangle\rangle$ is "onto." If $A = 0$ then each f_n and each g_n equal 0, from which it follows that $R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial^0 f_n = 0$ and $S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial^0 g_n = 0$. The mapping $(R, S) \mapsto \langle\langle R, S \rangle\rangle$ is therefore one-to-one.

2.19. Theorem. *The space $\mathcal{Q}$ is sequentially complete.*

Proof. Suppose $\{A_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{Q}$. By 2.17 there exists a unique (R_n, S_n) in $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ such that $\langle\langle R_n, S_n \rangle\rangle = A_n$ and, by assumption, the sequence $\{\langle\langle R_n, S_n \rangle\rangle w(t)\}$ converges for all w in W and all $t \in \Omega$. For any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$ there exists $t \in (0, b)$ such that $\text{supp } \phi \subset (-\infty, t]$ and therefore $\phi_t \in W$. Since $\langle R_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \langle R_n(x), p(x)(\phi_t)_t(x) \rangle = \langle\langle R_n, S_n \rangle\rangle \phi_t(t)$ for all $p \in [t]$, the sequence $\{\langle R_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle\}$ converges for all ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((-\infty, b))$. For any ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$ there exists $t \in (a, 0)$ such that $\text{supp } \phi \subset [t, \infty)$ and therefore $\phi_t \in W$. Since $-\langle S_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle = -\langle S_n(x), q(x)(\phi_t)_t(x) \rangle = \langle\langle R_n, S_n \rangle\rangle \phi_t(t)$ the sequence $\{\langle S_n(x), \phi(x) \rangle\}$ converges for all ϕ in $\mathcal{D}((a, \infty))$. We may again use [1, Proposition 2, p. 315] to infer the existence of (R, S) in $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ such that $R = \lim R_n$ and $S = \lim S_n$. By 2.16 we then have

$$\langle\langle R, S \rangle\rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle\langle R_n, S_n \rangle\rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n.$$

2.20. Lemma. *If (r, s) and (R, S) belong to $\mathcal{D}'_b \times \mathcal{D}'_a$ then $\langle\langle (r * R, -s * S) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle r, s \rangle\rangle \langle\langle R, S \rangle\rangle$.*

Proof. Let $\{(F_n, b_n, J_n, G_n, a_n, K_n)\} \in \Sigma_{R,S}$ and $\{(f_n, b_n, j_n, g_n, a_n, k_n)\} \in \Sigma_{r,s}$. By 1.05 and 1.04,

$$r * R = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \partial^{j_m+J_n}(f_m \wedge F_n),$$

$$-s * S = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \partial^{k_m+K_n}(g_m \wedge G_n).$$

Therefore, by 2.16,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (r * R, -s * S) \rangle &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \langle (\partial^{j_m+J_n}(f_m \wedge F_n), \partial^{k_m+K_n}(g_m \wedge G_n)) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N (D^{j_m+J_n} \langle f_m \wedge F_n \rangle + D^{k_m+K_n} \langle g_m \wedge G_n \rangle) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N (D^{j_m} \langle f_m \rangle D^{J_n} \langle F_n \rangle + D^{k_m} \langle g_m \rangle D^{K_n} \langle G_n \rangle); \end{aligned}$$

the second equality is from 2.13 and the third equality is from (2.03). Let $w \in W$ and $t \in \Omega$. Choose N sufficiently large so that $a_{N+1} < t < b_{N+1}$. Suppose first that $0 \leq t < b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (r * R, -s * S) \rangle w(t) &= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N D^{j_m} \langle f_m \rangle D^{J_n} \langle F_n \rangle w(t) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^N D^{j_m} \langle f_m \rangle \left(\sum_{n=0}^N D^{J_n} \langle F_n \rangle w \right) (t) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} D^{j_m} \langle f_m \rangle \left(\sum_{n=0}^N D^{J_n} \langle F_n \rangle w \right) (t) \\ &= \langle (r, s) \rangle \left(\sum_{n=0}^N D^{J_n} \langle F_n \rangle w \right) (t); \end{aligned}$$

the last equality is from 2.12. Therefore, by 2.15 and the fact that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a commutative algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (r * R, -s * S) \rangle w(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^N D^{J_n} \langle F_n \rangle (\langle (r, s) \rangle w)(t) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D^{J_n} \langle F_n \rangle (\langle (r, s) \rangle w)(t) = \langle (R, S) \rangle (\langle (r, s) \rangle w)(t) \\
&= \langle (R, S) \rangle \langle (r, s) \rangle w(t) = \langle (r, s) \rangle \langle (R, S) \rangle w(t).
\end{aligned}$$

And, if $a < t < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (r * R, -s * S) \rangle w(t) &= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N D^{K_m} \langle g_m \rangle D^{K_n} \langle G_n \rangle w(t) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^N D^{K_m} \langle g_m \rangle \left(\sum_{n=0}^N D^{K_n} \langle G_n \rangle w \right) (t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} D^{K_m} \langle g_m \rangle \left(\sum_{n=0}^N D^{K_n} \langle G_n \rangle w \right) (t) \\
&= \langle (r, s) \rangle \left(\sum_{n=0}^N D^{K_n} \langle G_n \rangle w \right) (t) = \sum_{n=0}^N D^{K_n} \langle G_n \rangle (\langle (r, s) \rangle w)(t) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D^{K_n} \langle G_n \rangle (\langle (r, s) \rangle w)(t) = \langle (R, S) \rangle (\langle (r, s) \rangle w)(t) = \langle (r, s) \rangle \langle (R, S) \rangle w(t).
\end{aligned}$$

2.21. Definition. For any F in $\mathfrak{B}$ we denote the element $\langle (F_+, F_-) \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{Q}$ by $\langle F \rangle$.

2.22. Theorem. The equation $\langle \partial^0 f \rangle = \langle f \rangle$ holds for all f in L .

Proof. Observing that $(\partial^0 f)_+ = \partial^0 f_+$ and $(\partial^0 f)_- = \partial^0 f_-$ we may combine 2.21 with 2.13 to obtain the theorem.

2.23. Theorem. The mapping $F \mapsto \langle F \rangle$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{B}$ into $\mathfrak{Q}$ and the equation $\langle F \wedge G \rangle = \langle F \rangle \langle G \rangle$ holds for all F and G in $\mathfrak{B}$.

Proof. The first assertion comes from combining 1.16 and 2.18. As for the second, since $\langle F \wedge G \rangle = \langle F_+ * G_+ - F_- * G_- \rangle = \langle (F_+ * G_+, -F_- * G_-) \rangle$ (see 1.18 and 1.19), we may use 2.20 to obtain $\langle F \wedge G \rangle = \langle (F_+, F_-) \rangle \langle (G_+, G_-) \rangle = \langle F \rangle \langle G \rangle$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Horvath, *Topological vector spaces and distributions*. Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966. MR 34 #4863.

2. H. Kestelman, *Modern theories of integration*, 2nd ed., Dover, New York, 1960. MR 23 #A282.
3. G. Krabbe, *Operational calculus*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
4. ———, *An algebra of generalized functions on an open interval; two-sided operational calculus*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 78–84; Correction, *ibid.*, 633. MR 42 #2262; MR 43 #833.
5. ———, *Initial-value problems involving generalized functions; two-sided operational calculus*, Arch. Math. (Basel) (to appear).
6. ———, *A new algebra of distributions; initial-value problems involving Schwartz distributions* (to appear).
7. ———, *Linear operators and operational calculus*. I, Studia Math. 40 (1971), 199–223.
8. H. Shultz, *Linear operators and operational calculus*. II, Studia Math. 41 (to appear).
9. F. Trèves, *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*, Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR 37 #726.
10. G. Krabbe, *An algebra of generalized functions on an open interval; two-sided operational calculus*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 78–84. MR 42 #2262.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE COLLEGE, FULLERTON, CALIFORNIA 92631